Double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure in bounded domains

Giorgio Stefani

Catania, 26th May 2023





L. Rizzi ERC Starting Grant 2020 GEOSUB (grant agreement No. 945655)

[1] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca and GS, On double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure in bounded domains, Calc. Var. PDEs 62 (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 85, 31.

[2] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca and GS, Full double Hoölder regularity of the pressure in bounded domains (2023), submitted, preprint available at arXiv:2301.06482.

Euler equations and the hydrodynamic pressure

The evolution of an incompressible inviscid fluid is described by the Euler equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div} (u \otimes u) + \nabla p = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u \cdot n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \end{cases}$$

where:

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is a bounded and simply connected C^2 domain;
- $u: \Omega \times (0,T) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is the velocity;
- $p: \Omega \times (0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ is the hydrodynamic pressure;
- $n: \partial \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega_i$
- $u(\cdot,t) \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ is the usual no-flow condition.

Hence, at each time, the pressure p solves the elliptic Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p = \operatorname{div}\operatorname{div}\left(u \otimes u\right) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_n p = u \otimes u : \nabla n & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(P)

(E)

noticing that

$$\partial_n p = \nabla p \cdot n = -\operatorname{div} \left(u \otimes u \right) \cdot n = -u \cdot \nabla (u \cdot n) + u \otimes u : \nabla n = u \otimes u : \nabla n.$$

Regularity problem for the pressure

Assume $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ with div u = 0 and $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta p = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} (u \otimes u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_n p = u \otimes u : \nabla n & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{pmatrix}$$

By standard Schauder's estimates $p \in C^{0,\gamma}_{loc}(\Omega)$, but can we do better?

The regularity of the pressure doubles the regularity of the velocity!

Theorem (Silvestre, 2011; Isett, 2023; Constantin, 2014; Colombo-De Rosa, 2020)

If
$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$$
 or \mathbb{T}^d , then $u \in C^{0,\gamma} \implies p \in \begin{cases} C^{0,2\gamma} & \text{if } 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}, \\ \text{Lip}_{\log} & \text{if } \gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \\ C^{1,2\gamma-1} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1. \end{cases}$

Notation: $p \in Lip_{log}$ means $|p(x) - p(y)| \le C|x - y| \min\{1, |\log|x - y||\}$.

<u>Remark</u>: See [Colombo-De Rosa-Forcella, 2020] for <u>Sobolev</u> and <u>Besov</u> spaces.

Applications: smoothness of trajectories of Euler flows, intermittency phenomena in turbulent flows, anomalous dissipation...

(P)

Idea of proof in \mathbb{R}^d (after Colombo-De Rosa)

The solution of $-\Delta p = \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div} M$ decaying at infinity can be written as

$$p(x) = \int_{B_R(\bar{x})} \partial_{ij}^2 G_{\mathbb{R}^d}^D(x-y) (M^{ij}(y) - M^{ij}(x)) \, dy$$
$$- M^{ij}(x) \int_{\partial B_R(\bar{x})} \partial_i G_{\mathbb{R}^d}^D(x-y) \nu_j(y) \, dy$$

via Green-Dirichlet kernel $G_{\mathbb{R}^d}^D$, where $M \in C_c^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ with supp $M \subset B_R(x_0)$. Fix $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}\operatorname{div}(u\otimes u) &= (\partial_i u^i)(\partial_j u^j) = \partial_i (u^i - u^i(x_1)) \,\partial_j (u^j - u^j(x_2)) \\ &= \partial_{ij}^2 \big((u^i - u^i(x_1)) \, (u^j - u^j(x_2)) \big), \end{aligned}$$

so that we can write (for $\gamma>\frac{1}{2}$ one takes derivatives $\partial_k p$ and $\partial^3_{ijk}G^D_{\mathbb{R}^d}$)

$$p(x_1) - p(x_2) = \int_{B_R(\bar{x})} \left[\partial_{ij}^2 G_{\mathbb{R}^d}^D(x_1 - y) - \partial_{ij}^2 G_{\mathbb{R}^d}^D(x_2 - y) \right] (u^i(y) - u^i(x_1)) \left(u^j(y) - u^j(x_2) \right) dy$$

and the conclusion follows by the decay properties of (derivatives of) $G^D_{\mathbb{R}^d}$.

Pressure regularity on bounded domains

In the presence of a boundary, even the single regularity of the pressure is hard!

Theorem (Bardos-Titi, 2022; Bardos-Boudros-Titi, 2023)

 $d=2 \text{ and } \partial \Omega \in C^2 \quad \text{ or } \quad d=3 \text{ and } \partial \Omega \in C^3 \implies p \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$

Question: Can we still double the regularity on bounded sets?

Theorem #1 (De Rosa-Latocca-S, 2023)

$$d \geq 2 \text{ and } \partial \Omega \in C^{2,\delta} \implies p \in \begin{cases} C^{0,\gamma} & \text{if } 0 < \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ C^{1,\min\{\delta, 2\gamma - 1\}} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \gamma < 1. \end{cases}$$

By interpolation, if $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ then $p \in C^{0,2\gamma-\varepsilon}$ for $\varepsilon \in (0,2\gamma)$ with $d \ge 2$ and $\partial \Omega \in C^{3,\delta}$.

Theorem #2 (De Rosa-Latocca-S, 2023)

$$d \ge 2 \text{ and } \partial \Omega \in C^{2,1} \implies p \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} C^{0,2\gamma} & \text{ if } 0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \\ C_*^1 & \text{ if } \gamma = \frac{1}{2}. \end{array} \right.$$

 $\underbrace{\text{Notation}}_{:} C^1_* \subsetneqq \operatorname{Lip}_{\log} \text{ with } \|p\|_{C^1_*} = \|p\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{\substack{x,h \in \mathbb{R}^d, h \neq 0}} \frac{|p(x+h) + p(x-h) - 2p(x)|}{|h|}.$

Strategy of the proof of Theorem #1

Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a simply connected bounded $C^{2,\delta}$ domain.

Approximation Lemma

If $u \in C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$ satisfies div u = 0 and $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, then there exist

$$\begin{split} u^{\varepsilon} &\in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\delta}(\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \text{div} \, u^{\varepsilon} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ \text{such that} \, u^{\varepsilon} &\to u \text{ in } C^{0}(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0^{+} \text{ and} \\ \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \| u^{\varepsilon} \|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq C \| u \|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}. \end{split}$$

Representation formula

Let $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be such that div u = 0 and $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. If p is a weak solution of (P), then

$$p(x) - \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} p(y) \, dy = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{y_i y_j} G_{\Omega}^N(x, y) \left(u_i(y) - u_i(x) \right) u_j(y) \, dy$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, where $G_{\Omega}^{N} = G_{\Omega}^{N}(x, y)$ is the Green-Neumann function on Ω .

We argue as in \mathbb{R}^d , after proving good decay properties of (derivatives of) G_{Ω}^N .

Strategy of the proof of Theorem #2 [1/3]

Now $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a simply connected bounded $C^{2,1}$ domain.

By the Approximation Lemma, we may assume $u \in C^{\infty} \cap C^{1,\delta}$.

Smoothed Theorem #2

If $u \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\delta}(\Omega)$ satisfies div u = 0 and $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, then there exists a unique zero-average solution $p \in C^{1,\delta}(\Omega)$ of (P) such that

$$\|p\|_{C^{2\gamma}_{*}(\Omega)} \le C_{\gamma,\Omega} \left(\|u\|^{2}_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)} + \|p\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \right)$$

Here $C_*^{2\gamma} = C^{0,2\gamma}$ for $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$. No big news for interior, just focus on boundary!

Estimate near the boundary

If $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$, then exists a ball $B_{R_0}(x_0)$ such that, with the notation above,

$$\|p\|_{C^{2\gamma}_{*}(\Omega \cap B_{R_{0}}(x_{0}))} \leq C_{\gamma,\Omega,R_{0}}\left(\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|p\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right).$$

Up to paying a suitable $a \in \mathbb{R}$, one reduces to $q = p - \psi$ with $\psi \in C^{1,\min\{\delta,\gamma\}}(\Omega)$ and

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta q = \operatorname{div}\operatorname{div}\left(u \otimes u\right) + a & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_n q = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Strategy of the proof of Theorem #2 [2/3]

Stretching the boundary

We rewrite the problem in a local system o coordinates inside $B_{R_0}(x_0)$ as

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_i \left(w g^{ij} \, \partial_j q \right) &= \partial_{ij}^2 \left(w \, u^i u^j \right) + w \, a \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap U \\ \partial_r q(0, \vartheta) &= 0 \qquad \qquad \text{for } \vartheta \in \Theta. \end{cases}$$

where $w = \sqrt{\det g(r, \vartheta)}$ and ∂_i are the derivatives in the normal coordinates r, ϑ .



Strategy of the proof of Theorem #2 [3/3]

We can reflect for r < 0 and then extend to all \mathbb{R}^d with compact support.

The new extended solution \tilde{q} solves a problem of the form

$$-\chi \partial_i (\tilde{g}^{ij} \, \partial_j \tilde{q}) = \chi \partial_{ij}^2 (\tilde{w} \, \tilde{u}^i \tilde{u}^j) + reminder$$
 on \mathbb{R}^d

where χ is a suitable cut-off function.

The reminder term can be neglected, we just need to prove that

$$\|\tilde{q}\|_{C^{2\gamma}_*(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C\left(\|\tilde{w}\,\tilde{u}\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|\tilde{q}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\right)$$

The idea is to study the pseudodifferential operator $D_i = \tilde{g}^{ij} \partial_j$. We may write

and invert the elliptic part via Littlewood-Paley Analysis ... painfully technical!

Thank you for your attention!

Slides available via giorgio.stefani.math@gmail.com or giorgiostefani.weebly.com.

[1] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca and GS, On double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure in bounded domains, Calc. Var. PDEs 62 (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 85, 31.

[2] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca and GS, Full double Hoölder regularity of the pressure in bounded domains (2023), submitted, preprint available at arXiv:2301.06482.