Bakry-Émery curvature condition and entropic inequalities on metric-measure groups

## Giorgio Stefani



#### Hamburg, 16 December 2020

G. Stefani, "Generalized Bakry-Émery curvature condition and equivalent entropic inequalities in groups", submitted, preprint available at arXiv:2008.13731.

#### Warm-up in $\mathbb{R}^N$

In  $\mathbb{R}^N$  the solution of heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_t = \Delta f_t & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, +\infty) \\ f_0 = f & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

is given by convolution as  $P_t f = p_t * f$ , where

$$\mathbf{p}_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

is the heat kernel.

Hence we have  $\nabla \mathsf{P}_t f = \mathsf{p}_t * (\nabla f) = \mathsf{P}_t \nabla f$ , so that

 $\Gamma(\mathsf{P}_t f) = |\nabla \mathsf{P}_t f|^2 = |\mathsf{P}_t \nabla f|^2 \leq \mathsf{P}_t(|\nabla f|^2) = \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma(f)$ 

by Jensen inequality.

#### Bochner formula and Bakry-Émery CD inequality

Let (M, 9) be a (complete and connected) *N*-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold with Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta$ . The Bochner formula states that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla f|_{9}^{2} = \langle \nabla\Delta f, \nabla f \rangle_{9} + ||\mathrm{Hess}f||_{2}^{2} + \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f).$$

Define

$$\Gamma(f,g) = \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle_{\mathrm{g}}, \quad \Gamma_2(f,g) = \frac{1}{2} \big( \Delta \Gamma(f,g) - \Gamma(f,\Delta g) - \Gamma(\Delta f,g) \big).$$

Then the Bochner formula gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(\Delta f, f) + ||\mathrm{Hess} f||_2^2 + \mathrm{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

so that

$$\Gamma_2(f) = ||\operatorname{Hess} f||_2^2 + \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where  $\Gamma(f) = \Gamma(f, f)$  and  $\Gamma_2(f) = \Gamma_2(f, f)$  for simplicity.

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we can estimate

$$||\mathrm{Hess} f||_2^2 \ge \frac{1}{N} \, (\Delta f)^2,$$

and so we get Bakry-Émery curvature-dimension inequality CD(K, N)dim  $\mathbb{M} \leq N$ , Ric  $\geq K \iff \Gamma_2(f) \geq \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2 + K \Gamma(f)$ .

#### Bakry-Émery pointwise gradient estimate on (M,g)

Assume that  $(\mathbb{M}, g)$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq K$  (take  $N = \infty$ ).

Define  $\varphi(s) = P_s \Gamma(P_{t-s}f)$  for  $s \in [0, t]$ . Then

$$\varphi'(s) = 2P_s\Gamma_2(P_{t-s}f) \stackrel{\mathsf{CD}(K,\infty)}{\geq} 2KP_s\Gamma(P_{t-s}f) = 2K\varphi(s)$$

and thus, by Grönwall inequality,

$$\Gamma(\mathsf{P}_t f) \le e^{-2Kt} \,\mathsf{P}_t \Gamma(f),\tag{BE}$$

the Bakry-Émery pointwise gradient estimate for the heat flow. If  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^N$ , then K = 0 and we recover the Euclidean case.

Differentiating (BE) at t = 0 we get  $CD(K, \infty)$ .

This argument works also for the case  $N < \infty$  [Wang, 2011].

#### Warm-up in $\mathbb{H}^1$

On the manifold  $\mathbb{R}^3$  consider the non-commutative group operation

$$p \bullet q = (x, y, z) \bullet (x', y', z') = \left(x + x', y + y', z + z' + \frac{1}{2}(xy' - yx')\right).$$

The resulting Lie group  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \bullet) \equiv \mathbb{H}^1$  is the (first) Heisenberg group.

There is a family of dilations:  $\delta_{\lambda}(p) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^2 z)$ .

The Haar measure is the Lebesgue measure  $\mathscr{L}^3 = dx \, dy \, dz$ .

The tangent space is spanned by

$$X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z, \quad Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z, \quad Z = [X, Y] = \partial_z.$$

We use the horizontal generators X, Y to define

$$\mathsf{d}_{\mathrm{CC}}(p,q) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}_s\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \, \mathrm{d}s : \gamma_0 = p, \ \gamma_1 = q, \ \dot{\gamma}_s \in \mathrm{span}\{X_{\gamma_s}, Y_{\gamma_s}\} \right\}.$$

The function d<sub>CC</sub> is the Carnot-Carathéodory (CC) distance [Chow-Rashevskii].

We work with the (not-that-bad) metric-measure space  $(\mathbb{H}^1, \mathsf{d}_{CC}, \mathscr{L}^3)$ .

#### What about a BE gradient estimate in $\mathbb{H}^1$ ?

The canonical sub-Laplacian in  $\mathbb{H}^1$  is  $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^1} = X^2 + Y^2$ , which is not elliptic. But  $\Delta_{\mathbb{H}^1}$  is hypoelliptic, so the fundamental solution  $\mathbf{p}_t$  of  $\partial_t - \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^1}$  is smooth [Hörmander]. In  $\mathbb{H}^1$  the solution of the (sub-elliptic) heat equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f_t = \Delta_{\mathbb{H}^1} f_t & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, +\infty) \\ f_0 = f & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

is thus given by convolution as

$$\mathsf{P}_t f(p) = \mathsf{p}_t \star f(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathsf{p}_t(q^{-1}p) \, f(q) \, \mathrm{d}q = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathsf{p}_t(q) \, f(pq^{-1}) \, \mathrm{d}q$$

The horizontal gradient  $abla_{\mathbb{H}^1} = (X,Y)$  is only left-invariant, so

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{H}^1} \mathsf{P}_t f = \nabla_{\mathbb{H}^1} (\mathsf{p}_t \star f) = (\nabla_{\mathbb{H}^1} \mathsf{p}_t) \star f \neq \mathsf{p}_t \star (\nabla_{\mathbb{H}^1} f) = \mathsf{P}_t (\nabla_{\mathbb{H}^1} f).$$

#### Theorem (Driver - Melcher, 2005)

There exists  $C_{\mathbb{H}^1} > 1$  such that  $\Gamma^{\mathbb{H}^1}(\mathsf{P}_t f) \leq C_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma^{\mathbb{H}^1}(f)$ .

This is a weak BE gradient estimate in  $\mathbb{H}^1$ : no differentiation at time t = 0!

#### Wasserstein distance

Let (X, d) be a Polish (geodesic) metric space. We endow the set

$$\mathscr{P}_2(X) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathscr{P}(X) : \int_X \mathsf{d}(x, x_0)^2 \, \mathsf{d}\mu(x) < +\infty, \ x_0 \in X \right\}$$

with the Wasserstein distance

$$W_2^2(\mu,\nu) = \inf \left\{ \int_{X \times X} \mathrm{d}^2(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}\pi : \pi(x,y) \in \mathsf{Plan}(\mu,\nu) \right\},$$

where

$$\mathsf{Plan}(\mu,\nu) = \{ \pi \in \mathscr{P}(X \times X) : (p_1)_{\#}\pi = \mu, \ (p_2)_{\#}\pi = \nu \}.$$

<u>Fact</u>:  $(\mathscr{P}_2(X), W_2)$  is a Polish (geodesic) metric space.

By Kantorovich duality formula [Fenchel-Rockafellar duality principle]

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\mu,\nu) = \sup \left\{ \int_X Q_1 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mu - \int_X \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\nu : \varphi \in \mathrm{Lip}(X) \text{ with bounded support} \right\}$$

where

$$Q_s\varphi(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \varphi(y) + \frac{d^2(y,x)}{2s}$$

for s>0 with  $Q_0\varphi=\varphi$  is the Hopf-Lax semigroup.

Assume (X, d) = (M, g). We have another equivalent characterization of Ric  $\geq K$ .

Theorem (von Renesse - Sturm, 2005)  $\Gamma(\mathsf{P}_t f) \leq e^{-2Kt} \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma(f) \iff W_2(\mathsf{P}_t \mu, \mathsf{P}_t \nu) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)$ 

A similar result is available for  $(X, d) = (\mathbb{H}^1, d_{CC})$ .

Theorem (Kuwada, 2010)

 $\Gamma^{\mathbb{H}^1}(\mathsf{P}_t f) \leq C^2_{\mathbb{H}^1}\,\mathsf{P}_t\Gamma^{\mathbb{H}^1}(f) \iff W_2(\mathsf{P}_t\mu,\mathsf{P}_t\nu) \leq C_{\mathbb{H}^1}\,W_2(\mu,\nu)$ 

#### Entropy

On  $(X, \mathbf{d})$ , put a (non-negative,  $\sigma$ -finite and Borel) measure  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Assume that

 $\exists A, B > 0 \quad : \quad \mathfrak{m}\left(\{x \in X : \mathsf{d}(x, x_0) < r\}\right) \leq A e^{Br^2}. \tag{exp.ball}$  The (Boltzmann) entropy  $\mathsf{Ent}_\mathfrak{m} : \mathscr{P}_2(X) \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  is

$$\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu) = \begin{cases} \int_{X} \varrho \log \varrho \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} & \text{if } \mu = \varrho \mathfrak{m} \in \mathscr{P}_{2}(X), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assumption (exp.ball) ensures that  $\mathsf{Ent}(\mu) > -\infty$  for all  $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_2(X)$ .

If  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}) = (\mathbb{M}, \mathfrak{g})$  with  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq K$ , then Bishop volume comparison Theorem  $\Rightarrow$  (exp.ball).

If  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}) = (\mathbb{H}^1, \mathsf{d}_{CC}, \mathscr{L}^3)$ , then group structure and dilations  $\Rightarrow$  (exp.ball),

because

$$\mathscr{L}^3(B_{\mathrm{CC}}(p,r)) = \mathscr{L}^3(B_{\mathrm{CC}}(0,r)) = \mathscr{L}^3(\delta_r(B_{\mathrm{CC}}(0,1))) = r^4 \, \mathscr{L}^3(B_{\mathrm{CC}}(0,1)).$$

#### Geodesic convexity of entropy and $CD(K, \infty)$

Assume  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}) = (\mathbb{M}, \mathfrak{g})$ . We have yet another equivalence with Ric  $\geq K$ .

Theorem (von Renesse - Sturm, 2005)

 $\operatorname{Ric} \geq K \iff \operatorname{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_s) \leq (1-s)\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_0) + s\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_1) - \frac{K}{2}s(1-s)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1)$ 

where  $s \mapsto \mu_s$  is any (constant unit speed)  $W_2$ -geodesic joining  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$ .

<u>Observation</u> [Lott-Villani & Sturm]: the  $W_2$ -geodesic K-convexity of  $Ent_m$  does NOT need the smoothness of (M, g), it ONLY needs d and m. Hence it makes sense in ANY metric-measure space.

<u>Definition</u>:  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is  $CD(K, \infty)$  if  $Ent_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is  $W_2$ -geodesic K-convex.

<u>Bad news</u>:  $(\mathbb{H}^1, \mathbf{d}_{CC}, \mathscr{L}^3)$  does not satisfy the  $CD(K, \infty)$  property! [Juillet, 2009] On  $(\mathbb{H}^1, \mathbf{d}_{CC}, \mathscr{L}^3)$  it actually holds [Balogh-Kristaly-Sipos, 2018]  $Ent_{\mathscr{L}^3}(\mu_s) \leq (1-s) Ent_{\mathscr{L}^3}(\mu_0) + s Ent_{\mathscr{L}^3}(\mu_1) + w(s)$ where  $w(s) = -2\log((1-s)^{(1-s)}s^s)$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$  (concave correction).

## Heat flow in $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$

We now work in a metric-measure space  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ . The Cheeger energy is

$$\mathsf{Ch}(f) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n} \int_{X} |\mathsf{D}f_{n}|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} : f_{n} \to f \text{ in } \mathsf{L}^{2}(X,\mathfrak{m}), \ f_{n} \in \mathsf{Lip}(X) \right\}.$$

Here  $|Df|(x) = \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(x,y)}$  denotes the slope of  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}(X; \mathbb{R})$ .

Properties: Cheeger energy is convex, l.s.c. and its domain  $W^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is dense.

The heat flow in  $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  is the (Hilbertian) gradient flow of  $\mathsf{Ch}$  in  $L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$ : for  $f_0 \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}), \exists t \mapsto f_t = \mathsf{P}_t f_0 \in \mathsf{Lip}_{\mathsf{loc}}((0, +\infty); \mathsf{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{m}))$  such that

$$f_t \xrightarrow[t \to 0]{} f_0 \text{ in } L^2(X, \mathfrak{m}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} f_t \in -\partial^- \mathrm{Ch}(f_t) \text{ for a.e. } t > 0.$$

The Laplacian  $-\Delta_{d,\mathfrak{m}} f \in \partial^- Ch(f)$  the element of minimal  $L^2(X,\mathfrak{m})$ -norm.

<u>CAUTION</u>:  $W^{1,2}(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$  with  $||f||_{W^{1,2}} = (||f||_{L^2}^2 + Ch(f))^{1/2}$  is Banach, but not Hilbert in general! For example, consider  $(\mathbb{R}^n, ||\cdot||_p, \mathscr{L}^n)$  for  $p \neq 2$ .

#### Non-smooth Calculus on $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$

Theorem (Ambrosio - Gigli - Savaré, 2014) Any  $f \in W^{1,2}(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  has a (unique) weak gradient  $|Df|_w \in L^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$  such that

$$\mathsf{Ch}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X |\mathsf{D}f|_w^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}$$

#### Theorem (Ambrosio - Gigli - Savaré, 2014)

 $|Df|_w$  behaves like the 'modulus of the gradient':

- locality:  $|Df|_w = |Dg|_w \mathfrak{m}$ -a.e. on  $\{f g = c\}$ ;
- Leibniz rule:  $|\mathbb{D}(fg)|_w \leq |f| |\mathbb{D}g|_w + |\mathbb{D}f|_w |g|;$
- chain rule:  $|\mathsf{D}\varphi(f)|_w \leq |\varphi'(f)| |\mathsf{D}f|_w$ ;
- approximation:  $\operatorname{Lip}_b(X) \cap \operatorname{L}^2(X, \mathfrak{m})$  is dense in energy in  $W^{1,2}(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$ .

#### Quadratic Cheeger energy

We say that Ch is quadratic if Ch(f + g) + Ch(f - g) = 2Ch(f) + 2Ch(g).

<u>Fact</u> I: Ch is quadratic  $\Rightarrow W^{1,2}(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  is Hilbert and P<sub>t</sub> is linear.

<u>Fact 2</u>: Ch is quadratic  $\Rightarrow \Gamma(f) = |Df|_w^2$  is quadratic.

#### Theorem

 $\Gamma(f,g) = |\mathsf{D}(f+g)|_w^2 - |\mathsf{D}f|_w^2 - |\mathsf{D}g|_w^2 \text{ is 'the scalar product of gradients':}$ 

- Leibniz rule:  $\Gamma(fg,h) = g \Gamma(f,h) + f \Gamma(g,h)$ ;
- Chain rule:  $\Gamma(\varphi(f), g) = \varphi'(f) \Gamma(f, g)$ .

#### Theorem

If Ch is quadratic then the (Dirichlet) energy  $\mathcal{E}(f) = 2Ch(f)$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) = \int_X \Gamma(f,g) \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} = -\int_X g \,\Delta_{\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}} f \,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}.$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta_{d,\mathfrak{m}}$  satisfies the chain rule

$$\Delta_{\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}}(\varphi \circ f) = \varphi'(f) \, \Delta_{\mathsf{d},\mathfrak{m}} f + \varphi''(f) \, \Gamma(f).$$

<u>Definition</u>:  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is  $RCD(K, \infty)$  if it is  $CD(K, \infty)$  and Ch is quadratic. [AGS]

```
Theorem (many people...)

Assume (X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m}) has a quadratic Ch. TFAE:

\mathsf{BE}(K, \infty): \Gamma(\mathsf{P}_t f) \leq e^{-2Kt} \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma(f)

Kuwada: W_2(\mathsf{P}_t \mu, \mathsf{P}_t \nu) \leq e^{-Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)

\mathsf{CD}(K, \infty): \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_s) \leq (1 - s)\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_0) + s \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_1) - \frac{K}{2}s(1 - s) W_2^2(\mu_0, \mu_1)

\mathsf{EVI}_K: \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \frac{W_2^2(\mathsf{P}_t \mu, \nu)}{2} + \frac{K}{2} W_2^2(\mathsf{P}_t \mu, \nu) + \mathsf{Ent}(\mathsf{P}_t \mu) \leq \mathsf{Ent}(\nu)
```

<u>Remark</u>:  $EVI_K$  stands for Evolution Variational Inequality and encodes the fact that the heat flow is the metric gradient flow of the entropy in the Wasserstein space.

#### Non- $CD(K, \infty)$ spaces: the Carnot groups

A Carnot group G is a connected, simply connected, stratified Lie group with

 $\mathsf{Lie}(\mathbb{G}) = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{\kappa}, \quad V_i = [V_1, V_{i-1}], \quad [V_1, V_{\kappa}] = \{0\}.$ 

By Campbell-Hausdorff formula,  $\mathbb{G} \sim (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot)$  using exponential coordinates.

We call  $H\mathbb{G} = V_1$  the horizontal directions. If  $V_1 = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ , then  $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f = \sum_{j=1}^m (X_j f) X_j \in V_1$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}} = \sum_{j=1}^m X_j^2$  (Kohn's sub-Laplacian).

The Carnot-Carathéodory distance of  $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$  is

$$\mathsf{d}_{\rm CC}(x,y) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \|\dot{\gamma}_s\|_{\mathbb{G}} \, ds: \, \gamma_0 = x, \, \gamma_1 = y, \, \dot{\gamma}_t \in V_1 \right\}.$$

Then  $(\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{d}_{CC}, \mathscr{L}^n)$  is Polish, geodesic and  $\mathscr{L}^n(\mathsf{B}_{CC}(x, r)) = Cr^Q, Q \in \mathbb{N}$ . Example: for  $\mathbb{H}^1$  it is  $\kappa = 2, V_1 = \operatorname{span}\{X, Y\}, V_2 = \operatorname{span}\{Z\}, Q = 4$ .

#### Theorem (Ambrosio - S., 2018)

The metric-measure space  $(\mathbb{G}, \mathsf{d}_{CC}, \mathscr{L}^n)$  is not  $\mathsf{CD}(K, \infty)!$ 

#### Another non- $CD(K, \infty)$ space: the SU(2) group

SU(2) = Lie group of 2 × 2 complex unitary matrices with determinant 1. Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  = 2 × 2 complex unitary skew-Hermitian matrices with trace 0. A basis of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  is given by the Pauli matrices

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix},$$

satisfying the relations

$$[X, Y] = 2Z, \quad [Y, Z] = 2X, \quad [Z, X] = 2Y.$$

Similarly as before, we define  $\mathbf{d}_{CC}$  and  $\nabla_{\mathbb{SU}(2)}f = (Xf)X + (Yf)Y$ . <u>Fact</u>:  $(\mathbb{SU}(2), \mathbf{d}_{CC})$  is a Polish geodesic metric space. Using the cylindric coordinates (for  $r \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ ,  $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $\zeta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ )

 $(r,\vartheta,z)\mapsto \exp(r\cos\vartheta\,X+r\sin\vartheta\,Y)\,\exp(\zeta\,Z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\zeta}\cos r & e^{i(\vartheta-\zeta)}\sin r\\ -e^{-i(\vartheta-\zeta)}\sin r & e^{-i\zeta}\cos r \end{pmatrix}$ 

the Haar measure  $\sigma \in \mathscr{P}(\mathbb{SU}(2))$  is  $\mathrm{d}\sigma = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sin(2r) \,\mathrm{d}r \,\mathrm{d}\vartheta \,\mathrm{d}\zeta$ .

#### Why Carnot groups and SU(2)?

Theorem (Melcher, 2008)

There exists  $C_{\mathbb{G}} \geq 1$  such that  $\Gamma^{\mathbb{G}}(\mathsf{P}_t f) \leq C_{\mathbb{G}}^2 \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma^{\mathbb{G}}(f)$ .

<u>Remark</u>:  $C_{\mathbb{G}} = 1 \iff \mathbb{G}$  is commutative [Ambrosio-S., 2018].

Theorem (Baudoin - Bonnefont, 2008) There exists  $C_{SU(2)} \ge \sqrt{2}$  such that  $\Gamma^{SU(2)}(\mathsf{P}_t f) \le C_{SU(2)}^2 e^{-4t} \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma^{SU(2)}(f)$ .

Question: BE  $\iff$  Kuwada  $\iff$  RCD  $\iff$  EVI also for G and SU(2)?

Fact: [Kuwada, 2009] gives the equivalence with the W2-contraction property.

Assume  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  has Ch quadratic.

Definition (Admissible group)

- $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  is an admissible group if:
  - the metric space (X, d) is locally compact;
  - the set X is a topological group, i.e.  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  and  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  are continuous;
  - d is left-invariant, i.e. d(zx, zy) = d(x, y) for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
  - $\mathfrak{m}$  is a left-invariant Haar measure, i.e.  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a Radon measure such that  $\mathfrak{m}(xE) = \mathfrak{m}(E)$  for all  $x \in X$  and all Borel set  $E \subset X$ ;
  - X is unimodular, i.e. m is also right-invariant.

<u>Remark</u>: Carnot groups and SU(2) are admissible groups.

#### Main result

Let  $\mathbf{c}: [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$  be such that  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}^{-1} \in \mathsf{L}^{\infty}([0, T])$  for all T > 0. <u>Idea</u>:  $\mathbf{c}$  is the curvature function and generalizes  $t \mapsto e^{-Kt}$ . <u>Examples</u>: for Carnot groups  $\mathbf{c}(t) = C_{\mathbb{G}}$  and for  $\mathbb{SU}(2) \mathbf{c}(t) = C_{\mathbb{SU}(2)}e^{-2t}$ . Define  $\mathsf{R}(a, b) = \int_{0}^{1} \mathbf{c}^{-2}((1-s)a + sb) \, \mathrm{d}s$  for  $0 \le a \le b$ .

Theorem (S., 2020)

Let  $(X, \mathsf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$  be an admissible group + some technical hypotheses. TFAE:

 $\mathsf{BE}_{\boldsymbol{w}}: \, \Gamma(\mathsf{P}_t f) \le \mathsf{c}^2(t) \, \mathsf{P}_t \Gamma(f)$ 

Kuwada:  $W_2(\mathsf{P}_t\mu,\mathsf{P}_t\nu) \leq \mathsf{c}(t) W_2(\mu,\nu)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{CD}_{\pmb{w}} \colon \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t+h}\mu_s) &\leq (1-s) \, \mathsf{Ent}_m(\mathsf{P}_t\mu_0) + s \, \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_t\mu_1) \\ &+ \frac{s(1-s)}{2h} \left( \frac{1}{\mathsf{R}(t,t+h)} \, W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1) - W_2^2(\mathsf{P}_t\mu_0,\mathsf{P}_t\mu_1) \right) \\ \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } h > 0, \text{ with } s \mapsto \mu_s \text{ a } W_2 \text{-geodesic} \end{split}$$

 $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{EVI}_{w} \colon W_{2}^{2}(\mathsf{P}_{t_{1}}\mu_{1},\mathsf{P}_{t_{0}}\mu_{0}) - \frac{W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{1},\mu_{0})}{\mathsf{R}(t_{0},t_{1})} \leq 2(t_{1}-t_{0}) \Big( \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t_{0}}\mu_{0}) - \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t_{1}}\mu_{1}) \Big) \\ & \text{for } 0 \leq t_{0} \leq t_{1} \end{aligned}$ 

#### Comments

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{CD}_{w} \colon \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t+h}\mu_{s}) &\leq (1-s)\,\mathsf{Ent}_{m}(\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{0}) + s\,\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{1}) \\ &+ \frac{s(1-s)}{2h}\left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{R}(t,t+h)}\,W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{0},\mu_{1}) - W_{2}^{2}(\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{0},\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{1})\right) \\ &\text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } h > 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{EVI}_{\pmb{w}} \colon W_2^2(\mathsf{P}_{t_1}\mu_1,\mathsf{P}_{t_0}\mu_0) - \frac{W_2^2(\mu_1,\mu_0)}{\mathsf{R}(t_0,t_1)} \leq 2(t_1 - t_0) \Big(\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t_0}\mu_0) - \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t_1}\mu_1) \Big) \\ & \text{for } 0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \end{aligned}$$

I. The equivalence  $\mathsf{BE}_w \iff \mathsf{Kuwada}$  is known, see [Kuwada, 2009] and [Ambrosio - Gigli - Savaré, 2015], but we (re)do the proof because of some technical issues.

2. If 
$$t = 0$$
 in  $CD_w$  then  $Ent_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_h\mu_s) \leq (1-s) Ent_m(\mu_0) + s Ent_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mu_1) + \frac{A(h)}{2} s(1-s) W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1)$  with  $A(h) = \frac{\mathsf{R}(0,h)^{-1}-1}{h}$  for  $h > 0$ .

3.  $CD_w \Rightarrow Kuwada$  is easy: multiply by h > 0 and then send  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ .

4.  $\text{EVI}_w \Rightarrow \text{CD}_w$  follows from a general argument, see [Daneri - Savaré, 2008].

5. We only need to prove  $\text{BE}_w \Rightarrow \text{EVI}_w$ . The proof is an adaptation of [Ambrosio - Gigli - Savaré, 2015] and [Erbar - Kuwada - Sturm, 2015].

#### Other comments and future developments

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{CD}_{w} \colon \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t+h}\mu_{s}) &\leq (1-s)\,\mathsf{Ent}_{m}(\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{0}) + s\,\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{1}) \\ &+ \frac{s(1-s)}{2h} \left( \frac{1}{\mathsf{R}(t,t+h)}\,W_{2}^{2}(\mu_{0},\mu_{1}) - W_{2}^{2}(\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{0},\mathsf{P}_{t}\mu_{1}) \right) \\ &\text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } h > 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{EVI}_{\boldsymbol{w}} \colon W_2^2(\mathsf{P}_{t_1}\mu_1,\mathsf{P}_{t_0}\mu_0) - \frac{W_2^2(\mu_1,\mu_0)}{\mathsf{R}(t_0,t_1)} \leq 2(t_1 - t_0) \Big( \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t_0}\mu_0) - \mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{t_1}\mu_1) \Big) \\ & \text{for } 0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \end{aligned}$$

I. We need the group structure of X to exploit the de-singularization property of the convolution:  $\rho \star \mu \ll \mathfrak{m}$ . Can we avoid this assumption? Example: metric graphs.

<u>Note</u>:  $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{P}_t \mu \ll \mathfrak{m}$ , but the  $W_2$ -metric velocity of  $s \mapsto \mu_s^t = \mathsf{P}_t \mu_s$  cannot be related to the one of  $s \mapsto \mu_s$  if c(0+) > 1 (example: Carnot groups and  $\mathbb{SU}(2)$ !).

2. Consider a sub-Riemannian manifold M (possibly, without a group structure). Is there a  $BE_w$  inequality also encoding information about the dimension of M?

3.  $RCD(K, \infty)$  and  $EVI_K$  imply several nice properties about  $(X, d, \mathfrak{m})$  (MCP, gradient flows, m-GH stability...). What can we deduce from  $RCD_w$  and  $EVI_w$ ?

#### Proof of $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{EVI}_w$ [ 1/6]

Let  $s \in [0,1]$  and assume  $s \mapsto \mu_s = f_s \mathfrak{m}$  is joining  $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathscr{P}_2(X)$ .

Define a new curve  $s\mapsto \tilde{\mu}_s=\tilde{f}_s\mathfrak{m}$  as

$$\tilde{\mu}_s = \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)} \mu_{\vartheta(s)}, \text{ so that } \tilde{f}_s = \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)} f_{\vartheta(s)},$$

where  $\eta \in C^2([0,1];[0,+\infty))$  and  $\vartheta \in C^1([0,1];[0,1])$  with  $\vartheta(0) = 0$  and  $\vartheta(1) = 1$ .

At least formally, we can compute

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\,\tilde{f}_s = \dot{\eta}(s)\,\Delta\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}f_{\vartheta(s)} + \dot{\vartheta}(s)\,\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}\dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)}$$

for  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

## Proof of $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{EVI}_w$ [2/6]

On the one hand, integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \operatorname{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{\mu}_{s}) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \int_{X} \tilde{f}_{s} \log \tilde{f}_{s} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &= \int_{X} (1 + \log \tilde{f}_{s}) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \, \tilde{f}_{s} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &= -\dot{\eta}(s) \int_{X} p'(\tilde{f}_{s}) \, \Gamma(\tilde{f}_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \dot{\vartheta}(s) \int_{X} p(\tilde{f}_{s}) \, \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)} \dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)} \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \end{split}$$

for  $s \in (0, 1)$ , where  $p(r) = 1 + \log r$  for all r > 0.

Since  $p'(r) = r(p'(r))^2$ , by the chain rule  $\Gamma(\varphi(f)) = (\varphi'(f))^2 \Gamma(f)$ , we can write

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\operatorname{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\tilde{\mu}_{s}) = -\dot{\eta}(s)\int_{X}\Gamma(g_{s})\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{s} + \dot{\vartheta}(s)\int_{X}\dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)}\,\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}g_{s}\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m}_{s}$$

for  $s \in (0,1)$ , where  $g_s = p(\tilde{f}_s)$  for brevity.

## Proof of $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{EVI}_w$ [3/6]

On the other hand, by Kantorovich duality, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} W_2^2(\mu,\nu) = \sup \bigg\{ \int_X Q_1 \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mu - \int_X \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\nu : \varphi \in \mathrm{Lip}(X) \text{ with bounded support} \bigg\},$$

where

$$Q_s\varphi(x) = \inf_{y \in X} \varphi(y) + \frac{\mathsf{d}^2(y, x)}{2}, \quad \text{for } x \in X \text{ and } s > 0,$$

is the Hopf-Lax infimum-convolution semigroup.

Recalling that  $\varphi_s = Q_s \varphi$  solves the Hamilton-Jacobi equation  $\partial_s \varphi_s + \frac{1}{2} |\mathsf{D}\varphi_s|^2 = 0$ , again integrating by parts, we can compute

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \int_X \varphi_s \, \tilde{f}_s \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} &= \int_X \partial_s \varphi_s \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_s + \int_X \varphi_s \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \tilde{f}_s \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_X \Gamma(\varphi_s) \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_s - \dot{\eta}(s) \int_X \Gamma(\varphi_s, \tilde{f}_s) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &+ \dot{\vartheta}(s) \int_X \dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)} \, \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)} \varphi_s \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \end{split}$$

for  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

## Proof of $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{EVI}_w$ [4/6]

Combinining the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \int_X \varphi_s \, \tilde{f}_s \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \, \mathrm{Ent}_\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\mu}_s) &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_X \left( \Gamma(\varphi_s) + \dot{\eta}(s)^2 \, \Gamma(g_s) \right) \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_s \\ &- \dot{\eta}(s) \int_X \Gamma(\varphi_s, \tilde{f}_s) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \dot{\vartheta}(s) \int_X \dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)} \, \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_s + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_s) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \end{split}$$

for  $s \in (0,1)$ , forgetting the term  $-\frac{\dot{\eta}(s)^2}{2}\int_X \Gamma(g_s) \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_s \leq 0.$ 

Now  $\Gamma(\varphi_s + \dot{\eta}(s) g_s) = \Gamma(\varphi_s) + 2 \dot{\eta}(s) \Gamma(\varphi_s, g_s) + \dot{\eta}(s)^2 \Gamma(g_s)$  and, by the chain rule,  $\Gamma(\varphi_s, g_s) = \Gamma(\varphi_s, p(\tilde{f}_s)) = p'(\tilde{f}_s) \Gamma(\varphi_s, \tilde{f}_s)$ . Since r p'(r) = 1, we have  $\int_X \Gamma(\varphi_s, g_s) d\tilde{\mu}_s = \int_X \tilde{f}_s p'(\tilde{f}_s) \Gamma(\varphi_s, \tilde{f}_s) d\mathfrak{m} = \int_X \Gamma(\varphi_s, \tilde{f}_s) d\mathfrak{m},$ 

and thus the above inequality simplifies to

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \int_X \varphi_s \, \tilde{f}_s \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} \, \mathrm{Ent}_\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\mu}_s) &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_X \Gamma(\varphi_s + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_s) \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_s \\ &+ \dot{\vartheta}(s) \int_X \dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)} \, \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_s + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_s) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \end{split}$$

for  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

#### Proof of $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{EVI}_w$ [5/6]

At this point, the crucial information we need to know about the chosen curve  $s\mapsto \mu_s=f_s\mathfrak{m}$  is that

$$\int_X \dot{f}_s \, \psi \, \mathrm{d} \mathfrak{m} \leq |\dot{\mu}_s| \, \left(\int_X \Gamma(\psi) \, \mathrm{d} \mu_s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all sufficiently 'nice' functions  $\psi$ , where  $|\dot{\mu}_s| = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{W_2(\mu_{s+h}, \mu_s)}{h}$  is the metric velocity of the curve  $s \mapsto \mu_s$  with respect to the Wasserstein distance.

With this property at disposal, we may choose  $\psi = \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_s + \dot{\eta}(s)\,g_s)$  and estimate

$$\begin{split} \dot{\vartheta}(s) \int_{X} \dot{f}_{\vartheta(s)} \, \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_{s} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} &= \int_{X} \left( \frac{\mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{d}s} \, f_{\vartheta(s)} \right) \, \mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_{s} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} \\ &\leq |\dot{\vartheta}(s)| \, |\dot{\mu}_{\vartheta(s)}| \left( \int_{X} \Gamma(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_{s} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_{s})) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathsf{c}^{2}(\eta(s))}{2} \, \dot{\vartheta}(s)^{2} \, |\dot{\mu}_{\vartheta(s)}|^{2} + \frac{\mathsf{c}^{-2}(\eta(s))}{2} \, \int_{X} \Gamma(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}(\varphi_{s} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_{s})) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{s} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathsf{c}^{2}(\eta(s))}{2} \, \dot{\vartheta}(s)^{2} \, |\dot{\mu}_{\vartheta(s)}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \, \int_{X} \Gamma(\varphi_{s} + \dot{\eta}(s) \, g_{s}) \, \mathrm{d}\tilde{\mu}_{s}. \end{split}$$

## Proof of $\mathsf{BE}_w \Rightarrow \mathsf{EVI}_w$ [6/6]

By combining the above inequalities, we conclude that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\int_X \varphi_s\,\tilde{f}_s\,\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{m} + \dot{\eta}(s)\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\,\mathrm{Ent}_\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\mu}_s) \leq \frac{\mathsf{c}^2(\eta(s))}{2}\,\dot{\vartheta}(s)^2\,|\dot{\mu}_{\vartheta(s)}|^2$$

for  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

If we choose  $\dot{\vartheta}(s) = c^{-2}(\eta(s))$ , then we can integrate in  $s \in (0,1)$  so that, by Kantorovich duality, we finally get

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \, W_2^2(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(1)}\mu_1,\mathsf{P}_{\eta(0)}\mu_0) &- \frac{1}{2\,\mathsf{R}(\eta)} \, W_2^2(\mu_1,\mu_0) + \dot{\eta}(1)\,\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(1)}\mu_1) \\ &\leq \dot{\eta}(0)\,\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(0)}\mu_0) + \int_0^1 \ddot{\eta}(s)\,\mathsf{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}\mu_{\vartheta(s)})\,\mathrm{d}s, \end{split}$$

where  $R(\eta) = \int_0^1 c^{-2}(\eta(s)) ds$ .

Since we have no information about  $s \mapsto \operatorname{Ent}_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathsf{P}_{\eta(s)}\mu_{\vartheta(s)})$ , we choose  $\eta(s) = (1-s)t_0 + st_1$  for  $s \in [0,1]$ , where  $0 \le t_0 \le t_1$  are fixed, and we get  $\operatorname{\mathsf{EVI}}_w$ .

# Thank you for your attention!